



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,799	06/30/2003	Nigel S. Keam	MS1-1589US	5078
22801 7590 11/24/2009 LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA 99201				
EXAMINER HALL, ARTHUR O				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3714				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/24/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhptoms@leehayes.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/611,799		KEAM ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	ARTHUR O. HALL		3714	

All Participants:

(1) ARTHUR O. HALL.

(2) Bea Koempel-Thomas.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:

1, 23, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:00 pm

/Arthur O Hall/
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained to applicants that the claims were replete with intended use statements that did not allow for patentable weight to be given to the function associated therewith. Examiner also described that claims 1 and 31 recited features not recited in claim 23 that potentially rendered the claims distinct, and that applicants would need to recite amendments to recite properly or remove recitation of the inventoried bag and hidden virtual objects of claim 23 in order to make the substantive features of claims 1, 23, and 31 non-distinct. Applicants will consider said amendments and contact the Examiner if further discussion is required. .